UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,079	02/26/2004	Stefanie Berbrich	71303	7282	
23872 MCGLEW & T	7590 04/03/200 TUTTLE. PC	7	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 9227			CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J		
SCARBOROUGH STATION SCARBOROUGH, NY 10510-9227			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	J.,	•	3781		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	04/03/2007	PAI	PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/789,079	BERBRICH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen J. Castellano	3781	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time Till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		,	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> .	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4,5,7-10 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	relection requirement. r. r: a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or by	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents 2 Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

The drawings are objected to because the cross section shown in Fig. 4 doesn't provide the proper cross hatching. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the metal coated insulating foil as defined in claims 4 and 12, the flexible lattice structure between two foil layers as defined in claims 5 and 12, the housing part's double walled design with vacuum insulating layer as defined in claims 7, 8 and 12 and the pull out element as defined in claims 9, 10 and 12 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing

sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claims 4, 5, 7-10 and 12 are objected to because the metal coated insulating foil as defined in claims 4 and 12, the flexible lattice structure between two foil layers as defined in claims 5 and 12, the housing part's double walled design with vacuum insulating layer as defined in claims 7, 8 and 12 and the pull out element as defined in claims 9, 10 and 12 has not been shown in the drawings.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 6, 11, 13-16, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Crawley (6484897).

Art Unit: 3781

Crawley discloses an insulating vessel as shown in Fig. 3 comprising an outer housing formed by a plurality of parts and an inner flexible liner holding container with a closable opening, the housing parts are telescopically connected. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crawley in view of Stefandl (6652144).

Crawley discloses the invention except for insulating foil being metal coated. Stefandl teaches a flexible beverage pouch of polypropylene foil having a metalized coating. It would have been obvious to modify Crawley's inner pouch to have the metal coating as motivated by the reflective property of the metal coating to keep the interior colder when exposed to radiant energy.

Claims 5, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crawley.

Crawley discloses the invention except for the flexible lattice structure between two foil layers and the pull out element. Official notice is taken that flexible lattice structure between two foil layers and pull out elements are well known. It would have been obvious to add the laminate structure as motivated by the insulating effect afforded and to add the pull out element as motivated by the versatility of such pull out elements.

Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crawley in view of Bell (3311249) and Schmidt (4450647).

Crawley discloses the invention except for the layer of vacuum insulation between housing walls. Bell teaches an inner housing part (filler 19 having walls 23, 25 with a vacuum insulation space therebetween). Schmidt teaches a outer housing part (container 12 with inner wall 18 and outer wall 20 and an insulation space therebetween). It would have been obvious to modify the two insulation housing parts of Crawley in view of the respective teachings of Bell and Schmidt to provide two vacuum housing parts which more efficiently insulate than two single panel housing parts.

Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crawley in view of Kline (4116204).

Crawley discloses the invention except for the both of the more than half relationships.

Kline teaches both of the more than half relationships. It would have been obvious to add more sections to allow the container greater height and greater capacity and to allow greater percentage of height and volume reduction when collapsed. Both more than half relationships are fulfilled when the housing parts each have equivalent height and more sections are added.

Claim 12 is rejected by combining the rejections of claims 4, 5, 9 and 10 since the limitations of claim 12 are repeated from these claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Castellano whose telephone number is 571-272-4535. The examiner can normally be reached on increased flexibility plan (IFP).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony D. Stashick can be reached on 571-272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,079

Art Unit: 3781

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Stephen J. Castellano Primary Examiner Art Unit 3781

sjc